

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/556,399	11/10/2005	Stephen Peroutka	SYNER-003	6277		
23599 7	590 07/27/2006	EXAMINER				
MILLEN, WI 2200 CLAREN	HITE, ZELANO & BRA	TRAN, SUSAN T				
SUITE 1400	DON BEVD.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201	1615				
	•		DATE MAILED: 07/27/200	DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicatio	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/556,399	9	PEROUTKA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
			Susan T. T		1615			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appo	ears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum stars to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DA of 37 CFR 1.13 nunication. atutory period with will, by statute.	ATE OF THE 66(a). In no ever fill apply and will cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION  Int, however, may a reply be to expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDON	DN. imely filed  m the mailing date of this of the control of the			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
•—	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election re	quirement.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner	r.			•		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	: a)∐ acce	epted or b)[	ceil objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any object							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)  Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/10/2005</u> .			4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [ 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Oate	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/556,399

Art Unit: 1615

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida et al. US 4,690,949, in view of Ogorka et al. US 2001/0033866 A1.

Yoshida teaches a therapeutic composition comprising L-threo-3,4-dihydroxypheylserine (L-threo-DOPS) (abstract; and column 6, lines 23-42). The composition further comprises excipients suitable for oral administration (column 6, lines 43-57; and claims 9-11).

Yoshida does note explicitly teach the claimed controlled release dosage form.

Ogorka teaches a controlled release dosage form comprising therapeutically effective dose of active agent such as psychopharmacological agents, antidepressants, and anti-Alzheimer (abstract; and paragraphs 0028-0033). The composition further comprises hydrophilic gel forming substance such as methylcellulose, hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose, and sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (paragraph 0044). The composition is suitable for once a day oral administration (paragraph 0037). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary kill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the composition of Yoshida using the controlled release dosage form in view of the teaching of Ogorka, because Ogorka teaches a dosage form that is

Application/Control Number: 10/556,399

**Art Unit: 1615** 

convenience for patient (0037), because Ogorka teaches a dosage form that provides desired controlled release of active agent suitable for the treatment of Alzheimer, and because Yoshida teaches an oral dosage form comprising active agent suitable for the treatment of Alzheimer.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida et al. US 4,690,949, in view of Sauer et al. US 2001/0003588 A1.

Yoshida is relied upon for the reason stated above. Yoshida does note explicitly teach the controlled release dosage form suitable for twice or three-time daily.

Sauer teaches a controlled release formulation comprising immediate release beads and controlled release beads (coated beads) suitable for oral administration of drugs (paragraphs 0027 and 0029). The formulation is suitable for twice a day administration (claim 2). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary kill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the composition of Yoshida using the controlled release dosage form in view of the teaching of Sauer to obtain the claimed invention, because Sauer teaches a dosage form that provides desired controlled release of active agent suitable for the treatment of Alzheimer (abstract; and claims), and because Yoshida teaches an oral dosage form comprising active agent suitable for the treatment of Alzheimer.

Claims 1-5 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida et al. US 4,690,949, in view of Vandecruys et al. 6,667,060.

Application/Control Number: 10/556,399

Art Unit: 1615

Yoshida is relied upon for the reason stated above. Yoshida does note explicitly teach the controlled release dosage form comprising an immediate release form.

Vandecruys teaches a controlled release composition suitable for once daily oral administration comprising 0.01-50% active agent, and 25-60% cellulose polymer (abstract; and column 15, lines 25-61). The composition further comprises an immediate release dosage form (column 17, lines 46-50). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary kill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the composition of Yoshida using the controlled release dosage form in view of the teaching of Vandecruys, because Vandecruys teaches a controlled release dosage form suitable for a variety of active agents, including antidepressant and antipsychotic agents, because Vandecruys teaches a controlled release dosage form that improved patient's compliance (column 2, lines 10-22), and because Yoshida teaches a dosage form comprising active agent and pharmaceutically acceptable excipient suitable for oral administration of drug useful for the treatment of dementia.

#### Pertinent Arts

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yokokawa et al. is cited for the teaching of composition comprising L-threo-DOPS.

**Art Unit: 1615** 

### Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan T. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-0606. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 6:00 am to 4:30 pm; Thurs. (telework).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

S. Tran

Primary Examiner

OM

Art Unit 1615